G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02
~~191~~ 1.
(Inscriptions)

File No. 231

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

C. Krishnaiengar, Inscriptions Inspector, Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.
Insc I 210397-8	

Revenue I 17. 97

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— C. Krishnayar, Muzrai Inspector, Mysore District.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 50 dated 7th October 1902 from the Salaries Controller, Mysore

Z

In dealing with a Petition received in this Office complaining against the irregular and unlawful acts of the Sarpathar Krishnayar and other servants of the Sri Prasanna Krishna Swami Temple at Mysore the Dewan was pleased to pass

the

(2)

The following order was on the 17th March 1902:—

A

" There have been two
" many complaints against
" this man* I hear.
" Relieve him of the
" charge of this Temple.*
" at once, making
" suitable arrangement
" for it. Krishnayar
" may be made the
" Inspector of Temples
" in the Mysore District
" with an increase of
" five Rupees pay
" and touring allowance
" under the C. S. Regulations
" Send a good man
" for Tirumma Krishna
" - Swamii Temple

In pursuance of the
above

* Krishnayar Accountant & Temple of the Tirumma V

* Tirumma Krishna Swamii Temple

X orders were issued to the Salaries Controller, appointing Krishnayar as Inspector of the Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore District, exclusive of those under the management of the Salaries Controller. The order also directed that, as no extra cost to Govt was intended to be incurred on account of this new appointment, Krishnayar should continue to draw pay of Rs 25 from the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple and the increase of Rs 5 from the Funds of that Institution, his travelling allowances however being

X Govt Pro no 2097-99 dated 27th June 1902

B

being met from the Savings of the Imprest Budget. In filling up the vacancy caused by this appointment, the Palace Controller was requested to find a good and competent man for the Parpathegar's post in the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple, from among the existing Parpathegars of the Temples and Chattrams under his management; and it was observed that one of the two Parpathegars in the Chattrams at Mysore could be conveniently spared for duty elsewhere in this arrangement.

3. With reference to the above order

x In his Letter no 17 dated 29th July 1902 C

under the Palace Controller x stated that the Sriranga Krishnaswami Temple was a large Institution, spending Rs 13722-4-1 a year and had an Establishment consisting of 119 servants that the order of Government deprived the Temple of the Sarpathagar who was always required for the Institution and that by this arrangement would materially cripple the Establishment of so important a temple. He therefore suggested that the pay of the Inspector might be met from other funds and the appointment of

Sampathengar he left intact.

In the same letter he also

stated that certain tempers

of Sampathengars in order

to fill the Vacancy, had

been sanctioned by H.H.

the Maharani-Regent;

that he had verbally reported

the same to the Dewan;

and that he would officially

write on the subject after

the Installation; and

that Krishnangar would

be relieved after the Installation.

On this the Dewan was

pleased to record his orders

as follows: —

" Please explain how

" Lamings may be effected

" to meet the hopes of Krishna Iyengar."

Before

Letter no 23 dated
19th August 1902
D

4. Before the Palace Controller could be informed of the above orders of the Dewan, the former submitted another Letter to this Office, intimating that His Highness the Maharaja had been pleased to direct that -

i Ramaswami Sarpathyar on Rs 15 of the Sri Chamundeswari Temple be transferred to the charge of the Srirangam Krishnaswami Temple on Rs 20.

ii Venkatachariar on Rs 10 in charge of the Srirangam Ranganatha Temple be posted

(8)

to the Chamundeswari

Temple on Rs 15-

and iii Lakshmana Rao,

Second Pantulugaru of

the Gnanamanja's Chattram

on Rs 15- be granted to

the Srineswaraswami

Temple on his present-

ing, with effect from

the 1st September 1902.

The Palace Controller

also stated that Hari Krishnayya

would be relieved only

the end of August 1902.

5. In his present Communi-

-cation no 50 dated 7th Z

October 1902, the Palace

Controller states that,

pending the orders of

Government, Inspection

Krishnamyar has not been paid his salary for September last, but that on his representation, an advance of Rs 30 has been paid to him from the Temple funds, subject to adjustment when the Government decision is received. He also suggests that the Deputy's pay of Rs 30 be debited to the Surplus Funds of all temples and Charitable Trusts in the District and States that, if this View commends itself to Govt the natural corollary will be that he should be

available for the Inspection
also of the Lustre under
the Palace management.

Submitted

Ks
9/11/02

Deputy Secretary's Remarks.

6. In making the arrangements in question, Government distinctly stated that it was not the intention to incur any extra cost.× It was therefore arranged that while one person (Krishniengar) was removed, another person (the 2nd Gumastah ~~Gumastah~~ Parpattegar of the Chattram) should be ~~from this place~~ taken. This would have enabled the Controller to fill all the places without any inconvenience. But the Palace Controller has stated

× except the increase of 5 Rs given to Krishnaswamy raising his pay from Rs. 25 to Rs. 30.

that under instructions from H. H. the Maharaja, increases of 5 Rs each have been given to the Parpattegars Ranga Char and Venkatesiah, and this diminishes the amount available by Rs 10/-. This places the Government in an inconvenient position, as it has to carry out the orders said to have been passed by H. H. the Maharaja, and yet finds itself unable to do so. There is the further difficulty occasioned by the fact that the increases to the two Parpattegars named above appear to have been announced to them and payments also made to them, and it would be hard

to deprive them of the increases so given.

7. The Palace Controller says that the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple may be relieved altogether of the pay of Krishniengar and that it may be given from the District Muzrai Surplus Funds of the Mysore District. The Govt Comptroller has already pointed out that there is no separate District Muzrai Surplus Fund properly so-called, that the so-called fund consists of the Savings of individual institutions, and that the sanctions hitherto conveyed as payable from the District Muzrai Surplus Fund were a mistake. This communication

and the general question of Mugrai finances and accounts are now under the consideration of the Dewan. For the present, therefore, no sanction can be given from the District Mugrai Surplus Fund.

8. In the circumstances of the present difficulty therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the whole pay of Rs 30/- of Krishniengar be continued to be paid from the savings of the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple temporarily, until further orders and until such time as Government is able to finally settle the question of Mugrai finances and accounts

Yes
Much

9. The Palace Controller says that Krishniengar may be given the inspection of the temples under the Palace Controller, in addition to those in the Mysore District. In the Government Order, he was particularly excluded from the inspection of those temples, because there were many complaints as regards his management of the Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple, and it is undesirable now to give him the power of inspection over that and other temples under the Palace Controller.

I do not see that there is any particular objection to do so

10. Immediate orders may, it is submitted, be passed for the disbursement of Rs 30/-, being

Krishnaiengar's pay for the month of October from the savings of the Basavana Krishnaswami Temple.

Subd to the Dewan
10/11/02

7151
RDIO.11.02

All the Correspondence and papers regarding the increase of pay are returned herewith
12.XI 02

See Dewan's Marginal remarks thereon - and draft orders
12/11/02

Note continued :-

11. As directed by the Dewan on page 13 of this note, the Salary Controller was requested in his Office letter no 1056 dated Aug 7th 17-97

20 Lm

20th November 1902, to continue
to meet the whole cost of
Krishnaswami Vig Rs 30 per
annum from the savings
of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami
temple, temporarily, until
such time as Government
were able to finally settle
the question of ampi
finance pending before them —
This pay for September and
October 1902 was accordingly
ordered to be disbursed from
the above savings. In the
same letter the Salem Controller
was requested to submit
the written orders if any existed
regarding the increase sanctioned
by His Highness the Maharaja
as noted on page 7 of this
note — No reply has been received
to

to this Communication.

12. In his letter dated 11th July 1904 Krishnayya brings (1) that the Govt order may be modified so as to confine his "work to the ancient Institutions "under the Palace management and "to those at Melkote, Saranganpattam, "Nanjanpad & Chamarajnagar"; and (2) that necessary arrangements may be made regarding his pay and status. He states that Timmappa and Ramanna (his predecessors in Office) were drawing Rs 50 and Rs 80 respectively as Superiors of the ancient Institutions under the Palace management alone -

L

B

13. Hitherto there was a saving of Rs 15 per mensem being the Dy Sarvadhikarigar's pay in H. H. the Maharaja's Chattram from which help the keep of Krishnayya could be

(Deceased)

(18)

be made, but that appointment was also filled up as directed in Govt Pro no 507-8 Mg dated 29th July 1904. Mng Fr 17-97 (not on the file)

Now there is a saving of only Rs 5- per annum out of the pay of Rs 25- attached to the Parpathayar's post in the Trivandrum Krishnaswami temple, but even this saving is proposed to be utilised towards the increase of pay to the present Parpathayar by the Palace Controller Mr. V.N. Narasimha Iyengar Rangachar. The Palace Controller's letter on this subject is herewith submitted for orders - In the 3rd para of his letter he says that he was "instructed to recommend that the pay of this Official ("Rangachar) may be raised to the "full amount of Rs 25". No 89 2nd Mng 10 G

Submitted
Sd
23/11/04

Please write a clear Kanarese Yada to the Palace Officer and enquire how Krishnayyar's pay is being paid till now and how it is being adjusted Sd 25/11/04

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

422.

11.2.65
42.1

Subject.

Increase of pay to [illegible] Clerk, [illegible] of the Sri Prasanna Pris[illegible]

Order.

702

The Dewan has, at my request, called for the file was first submitted to me, and further inquiries with regard to Ranga Char and Krishna Iyengar, which are referred to in the notes. As a result, the Dewan, and I Dewan is satisfied that no increase of pay is called for in the case of Ranga Char, and that C. Krishna Iyengar should be placed on the thirty one rupees. Order may issue accordingly.

19.3.06.
672

Increase of Rs. 2 to be paid
200 to be paid
Work is rated
at Rs 25 per year
from Dec 1897

Ordered accordingly KRW 15/3/06
16.3.06
20/3/06

Submitted to His Highness.

The recommendation [illegible]

G Controller, to increase [illegible]

Parpattegar of the Sri [illegible]

temple at Mysore, from [illegible]

is submitted for orders. [illegible]

ported to have already received [illegible]

K proval of Your Highness.

9. XII. 83

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued :—

The enquiry ordered by the Secretary on page 18 of this note was made of the Palace ~~Conductor~~ Officer in this Office Rubkari No. 2185 dy 12th Decr 1904. After the issue of several Reminders, that Officer has submitted the report with his letter No. 166 dy 16th May 1905 in which he states as follows.

Slip Reservation M Irvine

The pay of Krishnaiengar Vis Rs 30 per mensem ~~appears~~ is reported to have been disbursed to him from Sept. 1902 to April 1905. For these 32 months, the pay disbursed amounted to Rs 960 of which, the Palace Officer says, excepting Rs 330, it has not been possible to adjust the balance of Rs 630 for want of savings in the temple. He further states that the funds of the temple cannot well afford to bear the cost

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

as there is only Rs 153-15-1 left annually as savings which is necessarily required for repairs &c. It is also stated that the Palace is not in need of Krishnaiengar's services, & that he has not also been doing any work connected with it. In the interests of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple & other Palace Muzrai institutions, the Palace Officer strongly recommends that Govt may be pleased to relieve the Palace Muzrai of the charge of Krishnaiengar's pay. It is added that such relief may be granted with retrospective effect.

Submitted

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

When, in the year 1902, C. Krishna Iyengar was ordered to be relieved of the charge of the Sri Prasanna Krsihnaswamy temple in the Mysore Fort,

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

and to be appointed Muzrai Inspector on Rs. 30/- per mensem, the idea was that no extra cost should be incurred. Under the orders then passed, viz,

Hujp B

No. 2097-99 / Muzr. 17-97 dated 27th June 1902, Krishna Iyengar was to continue to draw his former pay of Rs. 25 from the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple with an additional sum of Rs. 5 per mensem from the savings of that temple, and it was ordered that separate arrangements should be made for the Parpattegarship of the temple ~~with it~~ without incurring additional cost, utilizing in that connection the services of one of the two Parpattegars attached to H.H.the Maharaja's Chattram at Mysore. In making the needful arrangements however , the late Palace Controller transferred, under His Highness the Maharaja's orders, Rangachar, Parpattegar, from the Sri Chamundeswari to the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple raising his pay from Rs.15 to 20. Subsequently, in the vacancy caused by the death of Lakshman Rao, the

2nd Parpattegar of the Chattram, whose pay was Rs 15, one Annadorai Iyengar, an old and experienced Muzrai servant, who had retired, was appointed under His Highness orders passed on the recommendation of the Dewan. Thus, sums which could have been utilized towards Krishna Iynegar's pay became otherwise disposed of. So that, with the exception of Rs.5/- a month paid from the savings of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple, the Palace Officer has been disbursing the remaining portion of Rs. 25 of Krishna Iyengar's pay from other sources, the details of which however, have not been reported.

It seems necessary, under these circumstances, to provide for the pay of Muzrai Inspector Krishna Iyengar from other sources relieving the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple altogether from the charge. Government now defrays the cost of the additional Establishments employed in the Muzrai Secretariat and in the Comptroller's Office, from the savings of the temples at Kalasa and Chamarajanagar

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

in equal proportions, as per orders contained in paras 17 & 18 of Government Pro. No. 2892-907 Muz 7 5-96 dated 19th March 1904. The amount to be adjusted in this manner on account of part payments is Rs.630 up to the month of April 1905 as stated in the Palace Officer's letter now under disposal. That amount, together with monthly payments thereafter may, it is submitted, be ordered to be paid from the source pointed ~~out~~ above and continued until further orders i. e, up to the retirement of Krishna Iynegar. Some such disposal of this Official's case was contemplated in letter No. 1056/Muz.f. 17-97 dated 20th November 1902 in which it was stated that the whole pay of Rs. 30 of Inspector Krishna Iyengar be continued to be paid from the savings of the Prasanna Krsihnaswamy temple temporarily, until such time as Government ~~are~~ were able to finally settle the question of Muzrai finances and accounts. Final Orders as regards Muzrai finances were passed in Govt. Pro. No. 2892-907 dated

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

19th March 1904 referred to above.

There is some difficulty in regard to the assignment of any duties to Inspector Krishna Iyengar. From the commencement it was not the intention to permit him to inspect institutions under Palace Management and the present Palace Officer says that those institutions are not in need of his services. The large temples at Melkote Sreerangapatam, Nanjangud, and Chamarajanagar are all under the Management of Peshkars who are very nearly equal in rank and pay to Krishna Iynegar, and his interference in the affairs of those temples, will not, in my humble opinion, be conducive to good results. Further, the Amildars concerned are now managing those institutions direct, and obtaining orders from their Official superiors, and inspection by a low paid Officer like Krishna Iyengar is likely to cause inconvenience. Under these circumstances I beg respectfully to submit that no orders need be passed at present regarding Krishna Iyengar's

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

duties.

In his letter No. 89 dated the 2nd November 1904, the late Palace Controller (Mr.V.N. Narasimmiengar) has recommended that the pay of Rangachar the present Parpattegar of the Śri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple, be raised from Rs. 20 to Rs. 25, the latter amount being the pay fixed for the appointment. He adds that he is "intracted" to make the recommendation. No doubt Rangachar has rendered long service, but he got an increase from Rs. 15 to 20 only in August 1902, and it seems undesirable to increase it still further so soon.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor.

A Ananta Sama Rao

4th Septr. 1905.

46
4.9.05

Krishna Iyengar to hold an enquiry & report. He may be requested to meet

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

under the Muzrai offices
of the Mysore Dt if
no objection exists.
I do not understand
how it is possible
to issue Rungachari's
pay without funds.

8/9/05

5/9/05

8521/6.9.05

I agree with Mr. Commissioner
& the Secy Regd Rayachar
it may be definitely ascertained
whether H.H. has passed
any orders verbal or otherwise
in the matter.

8 IX 05

Rec'd from Dewan's camp on 12/9/05
Put up (1) an Order about the
adjustment of the pay &
(2) ~~&~~ a letter to the Palace Officer
and (3) an Endt to Krishnayyar

12/9/05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190
J	Note Continued Orders were accordingly issued in Government Proceedings No 1547-9 dated 21st September 1905 about Krishnaguer, who was also given an Endorsement as directed.
K	Regarding Ramgudem a Confidential D.O. was written to the Palace Officer enquiring whether His Highness the Maharaja was graciously pleased to pass any orders verbal or otherwise in the matter. In reply the Palace Officer states in his Confi-dential D.O. dated 20th Nov 1905 that his predecessor's Official letter of the 2nd December 1904 has had the graciously approval of

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

of His Highness the maharaja
submitted
25/11/05.

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:—

Under the circumstances reported Parpattegar Rangachar's pay has to be raised from Rs 20 to Rs 25. The pay of the Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple being Rs 25 as per Jasdik Patte, the increase proposed may, it is submitted, be sanctioned.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor. 27/11/05

We have to find funds. 28-11-05.

24/11/05

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muz Sa]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

I beg respectfully to submit that funds are available. Krishnagar having been otherwise disposed of, the whole pay of Parpatteger of the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple, viz Rs. 25 p.m. is available. The present incumbent Rangachar gets only Rs. 20 and it is sought to increase the pay to Rs 25.

C. Ananda Saran Rao
29/11/05.

Re-Subd to the 2nd
Recd
30-11-05.

C. Ranga Iyer
for Secretary
2/12/05

10058
4-12-05

Rang
2/12/05

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MGOPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Submit with file
Ltr 21/11/03

file no 9

Ltr 22/11/03

The Palace Office
Mysore
20:11:03

Control

My Dear Sir

I am reply to your
D.O. letter - Confidential -
of the 20th September 1903
I write to inform
you that my predecessors
Special letter no 89 dated
2d November 1902 has
had the approval of
His

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

His Highness The Maharajah.

V. V. Mercy Swamy

B. Ramakrishnamma

L. Ananta Swamy Row Esqre

Muzrai Dept

Government Office

compl

D.O.

Bangalore
20th Septr 08

Dear Mr Venkatakrishna Rao,

Please see your predecessor's D.O. letter No 89 dd 2nd Nov:- upon ~~xxxxxx~~ stating that he has been "instructed" to recommend that the pay of Ramalhar Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Venkatasvami temple in Mysore Fort, may be raised to Rs 25, and kindly let me know for the information of the Dewan whether His Highness was graciously pleased to pass any orders verbal

or otherwise in the matter.

Yours very truly

Navans Eder

20/9/05

20/9/05

Copied

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the retention of C. Krishniengar, Muzy Inspector, in office.

From

The Dy commr. Mysore Dist.

Letter No. 535 Dated 24th April 1906.

C. Krishniengar, Muzy Inspector, whose retirement from Service ~~is now under the Consideration of~~ was ordered by Govt. ~~has~~ applied to the Dy Commr of the Mysore Dist. for his retention in office, ~~The~~ Dy. commr. recommends his retention for some time longer till the completion of the investigation into the accounts of Jaggululi Dharmasala in the Dodda Petah, Mysore city, where he suspects some defalcations.

Submits. The file on the subject was sent to the Revenue Secretariat in connection with the Comptroller's reference regarding

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

the question sometimes to be
said Krishnayya.

4/5/06 Submitted to the Dewan
Sahib thro the 2d Councillor
May the Dy. Supts proposal
be sanctioned?
5.5.1906

(1) When was the investigation commenced
~~& when~~ how long will it take to complete
it? (2) Was any report made to the
Muzrai secretary in the matter?
It is natural for the main to be kept on. He says nothing in his Petn
about the accounts of Jagannadas' Dharma
Sala. An examination by an independent
man may perhaps be more satisfactory
3) How is it that the Dy Commr recommended the main's retirement before
the work of examination was finished

Supplying the info
10.5.1906

7/5/06

H/
11/4/06

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

note continued

As regards the 2nd Councillor's queries as to when the investigation was commenced how long it would take to complete it and whether any report was made to the Muzrai Secretary, the Dy Comr, who was asked to report on the points, states that it was learnt that the examn was commenced on the 11th January 1906, that it may take 8 or 9 months more to complete it, and that ~~report~~ it was intimated to Mr D. N. Narasinga Rao, late Under-Secretary with the Dewan (in reply to his D.O. on the 27th February 1906, that Krishnaiyer had been exclusively

In his letter no 585 dated 14th June 1906

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

deputed to examine the said Accounts. He further states that "a report could not be made to the Muzrai Secretariat in the matter, as the investigation has not been completed as yet".

As regards the Councillor's query as to why the Dy Commr recommended the man's retirement before the work of examination was completed, it is respectfully submitted that the retirement was ordered at the Command of His Highness the Maharaja (Vide H. H's order dated the 15th March 1906) A

Submitted

RS
14/6/06

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

As regards Krishna Iyengar's prayer that he may be retained some time longer in service in the Muzrai Department, I beg to say that his retirement was ordered by His Highness the Maharaja after some private demi official correspondence with the late Dewan. It does not seem possible therefore to order his further retention in service. The Deputy Commissioner may be informed that further retention cannot be sanctioned.

As regards the examination of the accounts of the Jaggulala's Charities at Mysore, upon which Krishna Iyengar appears to have been employed for some time before his retirement, the Deputy Commissioner may be requested to make some other suitable arrangement for carrying out the work.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor.)

Thandavakrishna Rao
Mysore 16/6/06

Recd 19.6.06. I concur. The Secretary of the A/Cts should be completed soon
KV Rattan
2nd Councillor

Recd 19/6/06

Read above
Ch 20/6/06

1001
20.6.06

Ch 20/6/06

MGCPB—3,500—15-10 04

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No.	of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Payment of gratuity to Muzrai Inspector Krishniengar.

From

The Comptroller.

Letter No. 5772 / Muz 252 Dated 17th April 1906

In Govt. Pro. no 4207-9 dd 28th March 1901 orders were issued retiring C. Krishniengar, Muzrai Inspector, from service from the 1st April 1906 and sanctioning the payment of gratuity to him.

The Comptroller in his present reference states that according to the late Chief Commrs Pro. no 161-3 dated 9th April 1875, Muzrai officials are not entitled to pension or gratuity.

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

as their duties and salary are
frequently of an uncertain character.
In the light of the objections expressed
by above the Comptroller requests
that the question may be reconsidered
& orders of Govt communicated
to him.

Dr
23/4/06

During the revision of
the Establishment of some of
the Anagami Amin Chattrams
and the temples in the State operated
by one Srinivasachariar
the late Anagami Sheristadar,
between 1891 and 1901, several
servants whose were known
out of employment and most
of them were given gratuity from as a special case,
the funds of the Institutions in
which they were employed —

The present circumstance
is also a special one
Submitted to the Dewan Sahib thro the Comptroller
Dr for orders. May we say that the order now
24/4/06 proposed may be carried out? 24.4.1906

27 4 06

GPB—2,000—13-2-05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Municipal servants are now admitted to the privilege of pensions & gratuities - and Muzrai servants are entitled to a like privilege
Circumstances have greatly changed since the Chief Commr's orders of 1875 were issued
There are no grounds to reconsider the order already passed

28/4/06

5 5 1906

539 6/5/06 Rec'd 4/5/06

I agree

9/5/1906.

Returned from 005

12/5/06

Dpty Secretary. 12/5/06

Draft. 25.5.06

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FOR ORDERS

Subject :— Petition no 883 dated nil — Received in this Office on the 19th march 19

This is an anonymous petition complaining again the Palace controller.

The nature of the Complain is .—

i Hindu the Palace Contr has been very partial to Sreevaishnavas ;

ii Hindu he has recommended to Government ~~Hindu~~ large no - motions to Sreevaishnava Teachers of the Sanskrit College Mysore, while recommending only

[illegible] to

[illegible].

iii) [illegible] Krishnamurthi

who has been a "[illegible]"

of the Controller, and

who had been removed

from the Temple of the Sri

Prasanna Krishnaswami by our Srinivasachariar,

has now been reinstated

to [illegible] temple, and [illegible]

his misdeeds therein

are impossible to describe

Submitted to the Deputy.

25/3/02

25/3/02

Rec 27.3.02 4773 New Woodlands

29 III 02

Rec 29.III.02 Place with other connected papers. 9/4/02

1 యిదుహాగిరలి అరమనేసంస్థలశాతశాలియల్లియిరు
వల్లుపాధ్యాయరిల్లరూకమిసేసంబళగళుకడమేయాగిధీక్ష
వనశ్రేసాలదుహోజ్ఞాగిమాడికొడియందుకంమ్మశన్నిధానక్కాకం
క్రోలరిసూలసేకలఅజిగళన్నుబరదుకొడిద్దిరుయీగలల్లికేల
పుహణాభర్తికలబిసల్లిరుక్కే యిదరన్నువిలేమాడబోకేందున
డదమిట్టింగినల్లియీశంక్రోలరుయికరమేంబరుగళన్నుబా
యిబడదునేప్పయిదరకంక్రోగే బరబ్బాదవేందుకెరస్థరిశి హొబ్బా
రవనాదకన్నమనేగేవచ్చిరూవూటక్కేబరదేయిరువల్లియివరనా
నియల్లివూటక్కే హొంసూవదాక్కే స్నాబిందలూపరకాలస్నామి

2 యిదూహాగిరలి అరమనేలనది యువజిపశ్రేపరకాలమఠ
దస్థామిగళోబ్రాంహ్మరన్నకళిసబోకేందుసోశ్రమాడిద్దానేయిరది
దపరకాలమఠదల్లిరువలబడిగేపరిచారకరసుసహవచ్చిబినగే
ళి-ళపర్చాయగళల్లిజిపశ్రేదాములుమాడిశిశుక్తానేగంజినగళు
బరబోకాగిద్దిరే రాజనశ్రీవైష్ణవరన్నశోధిసుక్తానే-

3 యిదూహాగిరలి అరమనేసంస్థలశాతశాలియల్లియిరు
వల్లుపాధ్యాయరిల్లరూకమిసేసంబళగళుకడమేయాగిధీక్ష
వనశ్రేసాలదుహోజ్ఞాగిమాడికొడియందుకంమ్మశన్నిధానక్కాకం
క్రోలరిసూలసేకలఅజిగళన్నుబరదుకొడిద్దిరుయీగలల్లికేల
పుహణాభర్తికలబిసల్లిరుక్కే యిదరన్నువిలేమాడబోకేందున
డదమిట్టింగినల్లియీశంక్రోలరుయికరమేంబరుగళన్నుబా
యిబడదునేప్పయిదరకంక్రోగే బరబ్బాదవేందుకెరస్థరిశి హొబ్బా
రవనాదకన్నమనేగేవచ్చిరూవూటక్కేబరదేయిరువల్లియివరనా
నియల్లివూటక్కే హొంసూవదాక్కే స్నాబిందలూపరకాలస్నామి

గళ్ళదాళ్ళిగ్గొబ్బిందలు యీనూకిళ్ళియదయీశరూరుచ్చిపోయిసని
నేబకూపాయిప్రమోషణ్లిగబిశేంకలు కన్నమనేలాపుడ్డుగరిసే
ంతహోళువదాళ్ళిగ్గొబ్బిందకాంకాంఛ్చారభకోంబువనినేళ్ళకూపాం
యిప్రమోషణ్లిగబిళ్ళకిందన్నయిన్నూయిదరంకేశ్రీవైష్ణవరినిభ్రాది
ప్రమోషణనేశిష్టారభరదుమాధ్వవిద్వాంసరినేయీనూప్రమోషణ్
యిల్లుదేనూకిలవరు స్వల్పసంబళ్ళదవరినేశ్రీ-శీకూపాంయిగళ్ళ
మేరినేప్రమోషణ్లిగబ్బేకేందుబరదు యిరుక్కారీయిదుబిళ్ళాల్లు
న్నాయివళ్ళివిద్వాంసరాగియీయీవగ్రంథవన్నబిళ్ళాదకూపాంత
హోళువంధావరినేయీనూయిల్లుశ్రీవైష్ణవరాగియిరువవరి
నేలనేహీనేప్రమోషణనేబరదిద్దారేయీరీతియీగినేసార్థపోంటినవ
రువిష్టారసొయిల్లుదేమంజూరుమాడిదరేమూఢశనవుప్రకాశ
వానుక్కేయిదరన్నమిండిరవాగిమమంజూళుమాడబారదూ—

శే యిదూహాగిరలపూర్వదల్లిద్దిలారరకాడుశ్రీనివాసాచార్య
రుకృష్ణస్వామిదేవస్థానదల్లినిప్పత్తుపాశవాగికేలసనడిసూవ
దక్కోస్కరవాగియీశంపేర్లారల్లిమద్రభస్ప్రకారనాగిరువకృష్ణ
య్యనాగారియన్నిపారపరభకేలసదిందకేగదువేంకటేశ్వర్య
నేంబవనినేనేమశేమాడిద్దిరుల్లిన్నేదిష్టప్రపాశవాగిసరియూ
శేకేలసనడియుక్కాయిక్కుశ్రీనివాసాచారభరుకేలసళిల్లుకూడలే
రుభాప్రకారకృష్ణయ్యనాత్రన్నేకృష్ణస్వామిదేవస్థానదహొరవ

ಕಚ್ಚಿಕೊಂದರು ಯಿವರು ಶ್ರೇಷ್ಠ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಕೋವಿಡ್ ಜ್ಞಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸೂವಲು ಕ್ಷೋಭೆ
ಗಳ್ಳನ್ನೆಬರಿಯಲಾಗದು ಯೀಕನುಕಂಟ್ರೋಲಲರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಧ್ಯಸ್ಥಗಾರರೂ
ಯಿನ್ನಾಯಿವರ ಚರಿತ್ರೆಯನ್ನ ಯಿಪ್ಪಾಬರದರೂ ಸಾಲರು
ಯಿವರಿನೆಲ್ಲಂ - ಅವರುಷ್ಟವಯಿದ್ದಾನಿ ಯಿರುತ್ತೆ ಕಂಪ್ಲೀವಯಿಸ್ಸಿ
-ನವರನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾಪಿಂಲ್ಲರ್ಗೆಯಿವರೇ ಕೆಸಿಯಿಟ್ಟಾರೆಯಿವರನ್ನವಿಂ
ಲ್ಲರ್ನೆಗೆರೆನಿಯಲೂವ ಜೀವಕೆಲುಲ್ಲಾ - ಯೀಕಂಟ್ರೋಲರವರು
ಯಿನ್ನಾಂದೆರಡುವರುಷಗಳು ಯೀಕಿಲ ಪದಲ್ಲಿಯಿದ್ದರೆಯಲ
ಲ್ಲರಿಗೂಮೂರುನಾವುವಿದ್ದುರೆಬಿಕುವದೆಹೇಳಾರ್ತ್ತು ಮೂರನಾ
ವುಯಿಲ್ಲರಿದ್ದುರೆ ಸಾಯುವಹೊಕ್ಕತ್ತಬಿರುವದಲ್ಲದೆನೇಳ್ಚುದಿವಾ
ನರುಸಹಮೂರುನಾವವನ್ನ ಹೊಕ್ಕೆಯೊಬೆಲ್ಕಾನುತ್ತೆಯಿಲ್ಲರಿದ್ದು
ರೆನೆಳ್ಚುನಿರ್ಲಹಿಸುವದೂ ಕಷ್ಟವಾನಲುತ್ತೆ ಮೈಸೂರಲ್ಲಿಮನಸ್ಸುಳ್ಳರು
ಸಬಿಚಿಡ್ಡಿಗಳ್ಳುನೆಳ್ಳಜ್ಜಿಡ್ಡಿಗಳ್ಳು ಡಿವಲ್ಟೆ ಕವಿಷ್ಟನರು ಯೀಕೆಂಟ್ರೋಲ್
ಲರುಯೀಯಲ್ಲಾಲ್ಲಾ ಫೀಸರರುಗಳ್ಳೂಂಪೊಬ್ಬಾರವರು ಆಸಿಯೀಜಿ
ಗಳ್ಳುಮೂರುನಾಮದವರನ್ನೆವೆಜ್ಜಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಸ್ಮಾರಣರನ್ನೆಕುಳ್ಳರ್ಚು
ಹಾಕುತ್ತಾಯಿದ್ದಾಳೆಯಿದರನ್ನುಚನ್ನಾಗಿಅಲೂಚಿಸೆನೆನೆರಂದು
ಯಿವರನ್ನೆಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ದೂರಮಾಡಿಶಿಸ್ಪುತ್ಪೆಂಕರವಾಗಿಯಿ
ಲ್ಲರನ್ನಾಸಂರಕ್ಷಿಸಚಿಕೊಂದಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಂರೆದಿವಾನರವರಲ್ಲಿಅರಿ
ಕೆಮಾಡಿಯೀಕಾಗದವನ್ನೆದಿವಾನರವರಲ್ಲಿಸುನಾವಸಿಮಾಡ
ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಗಿಸ್ಪ್ರಿಡ್ಡಿಸುತ್ತೆನೆ ನಿಷ್ಪುತ್ಪೆಂಕನಾದವಾಚ್ಚುವಿಸ್ತ್ರೆ
ನೆನೆವುಸ್ಮಾರಗಳು

-214-

Trans. & rect. Memorandum Branch

Dy. 5/9/01

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
190
REG. No. 25
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 719

Name of Petitioner. Raghunathachar, Sadasiva Sastri and Srirangachar

Village
Taluk } Mysore
District

Date of Arzi 25-8-01

Date of Receipt 30-8-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

The petitioners complain against the irreligious and unlawful acts of the Parpattegar and other servants of the Temples in the Fort of Mysore.

Read
4/9/01

Submitted for orders to the Dewan
Rs
12/9/01

21/9/01

This contains complaints against Keshavaraya, Parpattegar of the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple in Mysore City.

The Petn appears to be incendiary, but it may perhaps be sent to the Palace Controller for report.

Subd to the Dewan
8/9/01

3363
14/1/02

4157

Where there are conflicting claims between the Parpattegar and the Archaka of this Temple the latter should be asked to make over the management pending enquiry by a Gazetted Officer of Temples in the Mysore District with an increased fine

Memorandum
C.S. Rapp
under the
Hindu Endowment
P.K. temple

OFFICE OF THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF
RECEIVED
Date .....
REG. No. 2547
MILITARY BRANCH

719

719R
30-8-1991

ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ದಿವಾನ್ ಪೂರ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರ ವಂಶಾವಳಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಸ್ಥಾನವಿದ್ವಾನ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಪೂರ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರ ಪುತ್ರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ಬಣ್ಣನವರು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿದೆ.

ನಾವು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದವರು. ಮೂಡಲತಿರುಪತಿ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವ ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಚೆಲುವನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಆ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಸ್ಥಾನವಿದ್ವಾನ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಪೂರ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರ ಪುತ್ರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ಬಣ್ಣನವರು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿದೆ.

ನಾವು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದವರು. ಮೂಡಲತಿರುಪತಿ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರುವ ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಚೆಲುವನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಆ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಕೆ ಶಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಪ್ರ

ಸದಾಶಿವಯ್ಯ ಪ್ರಿ

2 Sreerangachar

ಕೆ ಅನ್ನಪೂರ್ಣಾಚಾರ್

[illegible]

ಕೇರಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದದ್ದೂ ಸಬಳಿಗೆ ೧೦೦ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಗ್ಲಾವರ್ಣವನ್ನು ಅನುವದಕ್ಕೆ ೨೦೦ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಕಾಮೇಗರೆ ಮಡಕೆಸ್ಸು ಇಂದಡಾಗೆ ಮೂಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಶಾಂಭವಚಾರ್ಯರಾರ ತಲೆಮೇಲೆ ದಿಂಬು ಹಾಕಿಗೆ ಮೂಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಗ್ಲಾದಾಕೆ ಬಾವಂದಿರು ರೂಂಬುರೂಂ ಬುವರಾಂಪ್ರತಿನಿ ಪ್ಯಾಂ ಪೈಕ್ ಮೂಡಬೇಕು ಈ ಶಾಂಭರು ಪ್ರಪ್ರೆ ಗಾರ್ಡ್ ಬೆಲೆ ಗ್ಲಾವರ್ಣವೆಂದು ಹುಟ್ಟಾರು ಇಂದೂಂಕರಿವಚ್ಚೇದಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಂದೇವನಾಥರವಚ್ಚಿನಿ ಲಚ್ಚೀಕರವು ಣಾಸ್ಥಾಲಿ ದೇವನಾಥರಕ್ಕೆ ನವರ್ಣ ಮೆಂ ಲ್ಲವರ್ಣ ಸಾ ಹಿಮ್ಮಸು ಅಂ ಕ್ರಾಂವರ್ಣಲ್ಲಿ ಬುಧಾಶ್ರಕಾರಲೂ ದೇವನಾಥರಕ್ಕೆ ಶಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ್ಣದು ಚಾರ್ಯ ಎಂದಿನೂಂಬಿದು

ನದಿಲ್ಲಾ ನವರ್ಣಮೆಂಬುದುಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬಿಂದೇ ಎರಡೇ? ಬಾವಂದಿಯಚಿಕ್ಕೆಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಕೂರ್ಚವಂಕೆ ಗ್ಲಾವರ್ಣವನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ವರ್ಣಾಂಬಿಸಬೇಕು ಶಿಷ್ಯನ ವದೂಂ, ಅಂಬರಿ ದೇಶಿಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ದೇವನಾಥರ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಸುಳ್ಳೆ

(2)C1

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Nyasa

Sir,

I the undersigned beg to draw
that the Palace Controller refused to order
my pay for September, to be given to me
which I thought could not be withheld,
per Govt order No 2097-17 dated 27th
June 1992. Whereas he ordered pay not
to Mace whom he approached. Then I
presented an application to him
requesting to grant my pay, upon
which he remarked that I cannot be
paid but an advance may be given
pending Govt order on his proposal.

I submitted an application to
you on the 17th August last in
which I expressed the Palace —
Controllers views, and requested
that you should be so kind as to
settle certain points viz:-

(a) The question of my pay.

(b) Awaiting [illegible]

management & under my
inspection.

(c) To grant me the necessary
establishment.

(d) To permit me to have
some control over the
officers of the Institution
I may be directed to visit

I humbly solicit that you will
at the said items settled, minder
remove my difficulties

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obed.t & humble Servant--

6-10-02

Maria Ina Syenyan
Imam-Ganj

M U Z R A I.

No. 097-99 Dt 27. 6. 1902.
Muz: F-17-97

Order:-

C.Krishniengar, Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple at Mysore, is appointed Inspector of the Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore District, exclusive of those which are under the management of the Palace Controller. He will draw a salary of Rs 30 per mensem and have his Head Quarters at ~~Bangalore.~~ Mysore

2. He will at once report himself to the Deputy Secretary to Govt: in charge of the Muzrai Department, for duty, and will be under his direct orders.

3. He will inspect such of the Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore Distt as he may from time to time be called upon by the Deputy Secretary to visit, and submit his reports to that Officer. He will exercise no kind of authority or check over the local Muzrai Officers or servants, who, however, will give him any official information he may require in the discharge of his duty.

26.VI.02

4. As no extra cost to Govt: is intended to be incurred on account of this new appointment, Krishnaiengar will continue to draw his present pay of Rs.25/- from the Sri Prasnna Krishna swami temple and the increase Rs. 5/- from the savings of that Institution. He will, in addition to, draw the usual travelling allowances under the Mysore

Service

Service Regulations, the charge being met from the savings of the Muzrai Budget.

5. The Palace Controller is requested to find a good and competent man for the Parpattegar's post in the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple, from among the existing Parpattegars of the temples and Chattram under his management and report the same for the orders of Government. It is believed that one of the two Parpattegars in the Chattram at Mysore can conveniently be spared for duty elsewhere in this arrangement.

(Dft.)
Deputy Secretary.
Muzrai Department

To,

The Palace Controller Mysore.
,, Deputy Commr: Mysore Distt.
,, Comptroller to Govtt.

Reminder

MUZRAI

1902—1903

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Palace Controller
Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 23rd July 1902.
Received

General No. 180.
Muzrai F. No. 17-97
Enclosure No.

With reference to
Govt. Pro. No. 2097-9
dy. 16th June 1902 Muz 7 17-97
appointing C. Krishnayya
Pariyagar of the Sri
Parthasarathi Venkatesa
Swami Temple at Mysore,
as Inspector of the Muzrai
Institutions in the Mysore
District, I am the Commr
to enquire if Krishnayya
has been relieved of the
duties of Pariyagar
and if

MGCPB—2000—7-8-02

yet-
not reported himself
for duty to the under
signed.

By order

[illegible] 22/7/18.

By Secretary
Naval Dept



30/7/02

Submitted for orders with previous papers

Ls
30/7/02

Subt to the Dewan.

We did not say that there should be no Parpattegar for the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple but pointed out how the place could be filled up from the existing establishment.

There are no separate funds for paying the Inspector unless it be from the Prasanna Krishnaswami temple as was stated in the Order of the 27th June 1902 — VR

547/a 30.7.02

30/7/02

Please explain reasons in respect of the proposal of Mr. V. Rama Rao

K. S. Lee
23-VIII-02

Back to me VR

1902

From V.N.N rasimmiengar, Bs
Palace Controlle

To L.Anantasami Rao, Esq.,
Deputy secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 28th. July, 1902.

Despatched 29

Received

General No. 17
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In acknowledging your Reminder No. 180/17-97 dated 23rd. July, 1902, referring to Government order No. 2097-9/Muz. F.17-97 dated 27th. June, 1902, I have the honor to state that the Prasanna Krishnasvami temple is a larg Institution, spending Rs13,722-4-1 a year and manned by 119 servants of heterogenous kinds. It always requires a Parpatyagar, and in view to maintaining its present efficiency, Parpatyagar Krishniengar was not relieved, pending the appointment of a successor to take his place.

2. The order of Government aforesaid requires the Parpatyagar's pay plus 5 Rs to be taken from the said temple savings in order to pay the Inspector. In other words, it deprives the temple

temple of the Parpatyagar.

3 I beg to represent to the Government that this arrangement will very materially cripple the establishment of so important a temple, and I cannot help apprehending that its efficiency will be much prejudiced.

4. I would therefore beg leave to suggest that the pay of the Inspector may be met from other funds, and the appointment of Parpatyagar be left intact.

5. Certain transfers of Parpatyagars in order to fill the vacancy have been sanctioned by H.H. the Maharani-Regent, and I have verbally reported the same to the Dewan. I will do myself the honor to write officially on the subject after the Installation. In the meantime, I beg that the question of the Inspector's pay may be disposed of.

6. Krishniengar will be relieved after the Installation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Palace Controller.



Submitted

The previous letter was submitted to the Dewan on the 30th July 1902

Hs
20/8/02

190

From

V.N.Narasimmi engar, Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To

L.Anantasami Row, Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.,

Dated 15th August 1902.

Despatched 17

Received

General No. 23
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Reverting to correspondence ending with my letter No.17 dated 29th July last, I have the honor to inform you that His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to direct that

(1) Rangachar, Parpatyagar on ₹15/- of the Sri Chamundé vari Temple, be transferred to the charge of the Prasanna Krishnasvami templein the Fort Mysore on ₹20/-,

(2) Venkatésa a, on ₹10/- charge of the Srinesvarsvami temple, be posted the Chamundésvari te on ₹15/-with effect 1st September 1902, and

(3)

(3) Lakshmanrow, the 2nd Parpatyagar of the Maharaja's Chatram, on ₹15/- be posted to the Trinesvarasvami temple on his present pay from the above date.

2. I take this opportunity of pointing out that, as stated in my above letter, the pay of Parpatyagar of the Prasanna Krishnasvami —— temple cannot be spared for the reasons therein given, and that the pay of Muzroi Inspector will have to be found from other sources. Krishniengar will be relieved at the end of this month.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

S.

No. 50

PALACE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE,
Mysore.

Enclosures.

Dated 6th October *190.* 2.

7

From V.N.Narasimmiyengar, Esq.,
THE PALACE CONTROLLER,
Mysore.

To,

L.Anantasami Row, Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With advertence to correspondence ending with my letter No.23 dated 15th August 1902, I have the honor to state that pending the orders of Government, Inspector Krishniengar has not been paid his salary for September last, but on his representation, an advance of Rs 30/- has been paid to him from the temple funds, subject to adjustment when the Government decision is received.

17

2. I take this opportunity of suggesting that the aforesaid Inspector's pay of Rs 30/- a month be debited to the surplus funds of all temples and charitable Institutions in the District. The charge will thus fall equally on all of them, without trenching inconveniently on those of any. If this view commends itself to Government, the natural corollary will be that he should be available for the inspection also of the Institutions under the Palace Management.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The Palace Controller
~~DEPUTY SECRETARY,~~
~~GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,~~
~~MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.~~

To Mysore
To The Dy: Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 6th October 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 50
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Suggesting that the pay of Muzrai Inspector Krishnamurthy may be debited to the Surplus funds of all the temples & Charitable Institutions in the City.

10/10/02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 24
REG. No 60-10-02
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 18-11-02
REG. NO 374
MUZRAI BRANCH

18/11/02

Subd

The Palace Controller's attention may be drawn to the final orders since passed

Rs 20/11/02

11/25 21/11/02

1902

From

V.N.Narasimmiyengar, Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To

L.Anantasami Row, Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 14th November 1902.

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 66
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No.50 dated 6/7th October 1902, I have the honor to state that pending the orders of Government, a further advance of ₹30/-has been paid to Inspector Krishniengar on account of his salary for October, from the temple funds, subject to adjustment when the Government decision is received.

2. I take this opportunity of asking you to move the Government for early orders being passed as to the source from which the pay of this Official should be disbursed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

Bmh

Mysore.

No. 1056 Dy 20/11/1902.
Mus. F. 97-97

To,

The Palace Controller
Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. 50 dated 6th Oct. 1902, suggesting that the pay of C. Krishniengar, Inspector of the Mysore Institutions in the Mysore District, be debited to the Sweeper Funds of all temples and charitable institutions in the District, I am directed to state that

and until such time
as Government are able
to finally settle the
question of Muzrai
finances now pending
before them, the whole
pay of C. Krishnier
Viz, Rs 30 per month
be continued to be paid
from the savings of the
Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami
temple temporarily.

His pay for the months of September &
October 1902 should
accordingly be disbursed
~~this~~ from the savings
of the temple

2. Devertine to your letter no. 23 dated 15th August 1902, stating that under instructions from His Highness the Maharaja, increases of 5 Rs each have been given to the Poorpattegars Rangachar and Venkatesiah, I am to request you to submit the written order, if any exists, regarding the increases sanctioned by His Highness

I have &c

(Dewan's order) 17/11/02

Dy Secretary

Inspector Dist. Tr

MUZRAI

1902—1903

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Palace Controller
Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 24 November '02

Received

General No. 1165
Muzrai F. No. 17-97

Enclosure No. -

A in Letter No 46 dated the 14th instant regarding the source from which the pay of Sri. Krishnamurthy Muzrai Inspector, Mysore District, is to be met.

Has the honour to invite the attention of the Palace Controller to Pro: No 1056 - Muz: 17-97 - dated the 20th November '02, since issued on the subject.

By order

24/11

for

MGOPB—2,000—7-6-02

By Secretary
Muzrai Dept

A

No. 89 1760 PALACE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE,
Mysore.

Enclosures. Dated. 2nd November . .190.

Recd 3/Nov 02

From V. N. Narasimiyengar, Esq.,
THE PALACE CONTROLLER,
Mysore.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esq.,
Secretary to the Govt.,
Muzroi Department,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

D Referring to correspondence ending with my letter No:23 dated 15/17th August 1902, I have the honor to bring to the favourable considration of Government the case of Rangachar, Parpatyagar of the Sri Prasanna Krishnasvami temple in Mysore City. He was in the Muzroi Department under Govt. for 29 years, and has had a service of 35 years. He was appointed by Govt. in May 1898 to the charge of the Chamundesvari temple on Chamundi Hill, on ₹15/-. After serving there for 4 years, he was transferred to his present charge on ₹20/-. He has done his work fairly well in this difficult position, as he has had to hold the balance of duty between 2 rival sects, with tendencies and religious [redacted] diversity

~~of the inferior~~ a character, the reverse of favourable.

2. The sanctioned scale of pay for the post is Rs 25/- according to the revised scale laid down by Government.

3. I am instructed accordingly to recommend that the pay of this Official may be raised to the full amount of Rs 25/-.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

B.H.H.

Muz. 7] MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

ನಂ. 2185

ರೂಬಕಾರಿ

ಮೈಸೂರುಗೌರ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖಾ

ಬತಾ 12ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಫಿಶಂಗಳು
1906ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ತಾಂ

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಾಮುಂಡೇಶ್ವರಿ ಅಮ್ಮನವರ ದೇವರ ಪಾಂಡು ಪತ್ತೇದಾರ ವಂಶ
ದವರು ಶಂಖು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನ ವೈಷ್ಣವಾಚಾರ್ಯುಲುವರ ದೇವರ
ಪಾಂಡು ಪತ್ತೇದಾರರಂಗಾಚಾರಿಯರು ಲೀಲು ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಾಂ
ಲುಗೆ ರೆವಲು ಬಚಾಸ್ಸಾಲಾನಾಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿ ವಿನಿಕಾಶಾಲಾಚಿ ಅ
ರಬು ಕೀಳಕೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅರುಲಾಂದಬಂದ ದೇವಂಬರಿಯೂಕೆಂ ಇ
ದಿಬರ್ಷಂ $\frac{88}{1709} - \frac{89}{1710}$ ನೇಕ್ಷ ಬಕಾಯಿಗಳಾಳಿಪೋಗಿಗಳು ಸೆ
ತಲಾಂಬು ತು

ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನವೈಷ್ಣವಾಚಾರ್ಯುಲುವರ ದೇವರ ಪಾಂಡು
ಪತ್ತೇದಾರರಂಗಾಚಾರಿಯರು ಬರಾಯಿ
ಇಚ್ಚಿಸಿವಿರ ತೆಲುಪಾಚಿ ಅವರಲಾಂಬು ತು ತಲುಬ ತಂಬಾ
ಪಾಂಡುಗಳು ಡಿಮಾಂ ದೇವರ ಸೇವಾಂಸ್ಕೆ ಲಂಬ ತೆಲಾಂಡಿಕೆ ಲ್ಲ
ದೆಂಬಲಾಂ ವೆಲ್ಲುಬಾಂಬು ಬ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷಾಲಾನ ಇಬ್ಬರಿಪಾಂಡು
ದಿ
ಪತ್ತೇದಾರರಪ್ಪತಿ ಬ ಬ್ಬುರಲ್ಲ ಕಂಡಲು ರೆಡಿಬಬಿಂಬ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ ಮುದ
ರೆದೇವರ ಪಾಂಡುಪತ್ತೇದಾರ ತೆಲುಸಕ್ಕಿಗಾಳ ಲಧಿಕಾಂ ಇವರಬಾ
ನಧಾಂದರೂ ದೇವರ ತೆಲುಸುತೆಕ್ಕಾಂಡ ನೆಲಿಬುರೆಕ್ಕಿಗಾ ಬುಬಂಡಿ
ದೆಂಬಲಾಂ ಈ ಬಿಬಾಯಿಂಗಡಿ ಕಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ದುಬಾಯಿ

MGPB - 500—31-3-01

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು. ಸಭೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 27 ನವೆಂಬರ್ 2017 ಕ್ಕೆ
ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಬಂದು ಅದನ್ನು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ
20 ನವೆಂಬರ್ 10.30 ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಬರಲು. ಆ
ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಆಗಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹೇಳಿದರು
ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಸೂಚಿಯಂತೆ 1904 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು
ಮಾಡಲು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು ಬಂದವು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು
ಸಲಹೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಲಹೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲ
ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು. ಅದರಂತೆ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The Secy, Muzy Dept.

To The Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments

Dated 10th

Despatched Mysore

Received

General No. 2912

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure

Mo Office no 2185 D/2 dt December 1904 calling for some information re disbursement of pay of the Parapathegar Krishnieyar (now Muzrai Inspector) of the Sri Prasanna Krishna Swami temple at Melkote

---

Has the honor to draw attention to the above & request an early reply

2/1

7/2/05

MGCPB—2,000—9-05

CK

Rejoin

Js : 166

14/5/05

15

Submit

Js

14/5/05

but necessary [illegible] of the Temple and its articles, [illegible] of repairs on account [illegible] frequently.

3. The Palace, [illegible] the services of [illegible]. Now has he been doing any work Connected Herewith - MLR

4. In the letter to [illegible] Palace Museum and Historical Institutions, I would strongly request the favor of your [illegible] Palace Museum of the [illegible] in question, the [illegible] efforts be made possible [illegible] relief [illegible].

I [illegible],

Yours [illegible],

Chang Kia-ngau
15/5/03.

Director of the [illegible]
Palace Historical Society.

Enc. CL

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

M U Z R A I

Read:-

Letter No. 1056/Muz.F. 17-97 dated 20th November 1902 to the Palace Controller, Mysore, directing that the pay of Rs. 30 per mensem sanctioned for C. Krishnaiengar, Muzrai Inspector, be temporarily debited to the savings of the Sri Prasanna Krishna Swamy temple at Mysore.

Correspondence ending with Letter No. 166 dated 14th May 1905, from the Officer in Charge of the Palace Establish - ments, Mysore re the adjustm nt of the pay of Krishnaiengar.

Order thereon No. 1547-9 **Dated Bangalore,** 21st **September 1905**

In the letter read above the Palace Officer reports that out of Rs. 960 disbursed to Krishnaiengar as his pay at Rs. 30 per mensem from September 1902 up to the end of April 1905 Rs. 330 were adjusted from the savings of tho Sri Prasannakrishna swami temple and that it has not been possible to adjust the balance of Rs.630 for want of savings in the said temple, that the funds of this important temple cannot afford to bear this burden the small annual saving of Rs.153-15-1 being required to meet the miscellaneous requirements of the temple, and that the services of the Inspector are not required in connection with the

Muzrai Institutions under his charge. Under these circumstances the Government are pleased to direct that the unadjusted amount of Rs.630 referred to above as well as the monthly payments made from May 1905 up to date be debited to the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy and Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temples in equal proportions as is ~~drawn~~ done in the case of the additional establishments employed in the Muzrai Secretariat and in the Comptroller's Office, under orders conveyed in the Government Pro. No.2892/Muz.F.5-96 dated 19th March 1904. His ~~further~~ future pay at Rs. 30 per mensem should be disbursed to him from the source pointed out above, upon bills countersigned by the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

2. Krishnaiengar will in future work under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, in the Muzrai Department.

Fair draft
Dewans orders

20/9/05

Secretary

To,

The Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments, Mysore

" " Comptroller to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

" " Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

orders. Should it meet with your approval the Inspection will be directed to inspect all the Inspection Institutions in the city once a week and the important ones in the mofussil once in three months.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner &
Mysore District

CPS
PA.

495.
No 4
Gr 4

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Seypore Districts
Dated Seypore 26th March 1906

To

K. Anantha Swamy Row Esquire B.A
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Huzur Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 1547-9 dated 21st September 1905 directing that Krishnaiengar, Inugrai Inspector, will, in future, work under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District in the Inugrai Department, I have the honor to state that Krishnaiengar who reported himself for duty on the 26th September 1905 was directed by me to work in the office pending further orders from Government.

2. Further orders regarding the nature of the work to be done by the Inugrai Inspector not having yet been received from Government, I called upon the Inugrai Inspector to draw up a Programme of the work to be done by him and he has accordingly submitted a Programme dated 17.3.1906 which is herewith sent in original for favour of orders



(draft as per H.H.'s orders)

Nuzzar.

Read:—

Letter No. 89 dy 2nd Nov. 1904 from the Palace Controller, Mysore, stating that he has been instructed to recommend that the pay of Ramachar, Parbatteyar of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami temple in the Mysore Fort, may be raised from Rs 20 to Rs 25.

Govt. Pro. No 1527-9 dy 21st September 1905 passing orders regarding the pay and duties of C. Krishnaiengar, Nuzzar Inspector Mysore.

No. 4207-9 dy 28.3.06.

Order thereon:— The Govt. of H.H.

the Maharaja observe that no increase in pay in the case of Parthasarathy Rangachar is called for.

2. C. Krishniengar, Munsif Inspector will be retired from service from the 1st April 1906, ~~for three months~~ on the gratuity due to him. He has put in a service of a little over 8 years from Dec. 1897. ~~and calculated~~ Computing at the rate of one month's pay for each year of completed service rendered by him, the gratuity payable to him amounts to Rs. ~~200~~ 240/- ~~Rs~~

Note

His pay being 30 Rs he is entitled to a gratuity of Rs. 240/- so I have entered that amount

24/3

the payment of this
amount to him, is sanctioned,
the charge being met from
from the Savings of the
temples at Kalasa and
Chamarajnagar in equal
proportions as per orders
contained in paras 17 & 18
of Govt. No. NO. 2892-901
MWZ 75-96
dy 19th March 1906.

By H.H's orders

Sd 24/3/06
Secy. to Govt.

Sd
24/3/06

To, The Officer in charge of the
Palace Estate in Mysore
" Deputy Commr., Mysore Dt.
" Comptr. to Govt.

From

To

The Deputy Commr,
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 5. 4. 06.

Received

General No. 4444
No.

Enclosure No. 4

His letter No 495, dated 26th March 1906, submitting a programme of work proposed to be done by C. Krishnaiengar, Amin Inspector attached to the Mysore District

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the said Krishnaiengar having ~~been retained under orders con-~~ ordered to be retained upto ~~from the 1st~~ April 1906 (vide ~~varied in~~ Govt Pro: No. 4207 9, dy 28th March 1906) the question may be dropped.

2 Encls are returned Herewith,

30/3/06

30/3/06
Amin Inspector.

No. S 772
Inz 252

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

Dated Bangalore, the 17th April 1906

FROM

RAI N. G. BASU BAHADUR, M.A.,
COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

To

L. Anantasawmy Rao, Esq., B.A.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Muzrai DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to Government Pro: No.4207-9 dated 28-3-'06 sanctioning the retirement of the Muzrai Inspector of Mysore from service from 1st April 1906 with a gratuity of Rs. 240 for his 8 years service and ordering the same to be met from Kalsa and Channaroyeswara Temple Funds, I have the honor to state that the late Chief Commissioner decided that Muzrai officials were not entitled to pension as their duties and salary were frequently of an uncertain character (Vide Chief Commissioner Pro: No.161-3 dated 9th April 1873) and to request that you will be so good as to reconsider the question and communicate the orders of Government in the matter.

The favor of an early reply is requested.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G Basu
Comptroller.

CR

Rupees
Rs
1874/06

Muzrai Departme
1906.

From

Rai N. G. Basu Bahadur, M.A.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 17
Despatched 18 April
Received
No. 5772
Muz: 252

Subject Matter.

Referring to Govt. Pro: No. 4207-9 28/3/06, states that Muzrai were not entitled to pensions as their duties & salaries frequently of an uncertain (Vide Ch: Comms Pro. No. 161-3 &9, requests that he will the question & communicate orders of Govt. in the matter

JV

1905-06.

4592
26/4/06

Refer

Ks
26/4/06

From
H. H. Sparkes Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasamni Rao Esq B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Inspr. Department

Dated 24th April 1906
Despatched
Received
General No. 5535
No.

Enclosure No. one

Forwards an application from C. Krishnaiengar, Inspr. Inspector and recommends his retention in service for some short time

itted in Continuation.

The file on the subject was
ited to the 2nd Councillor on the
5th April 1906

Work up
the case
L
29/4/06

27/4/06 Ks 28/4/06

JV
Shown [illegible]
a [illegible]

Ks
30/4/06

[illegible]
1/5/06.

No 535
One

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated 24th April 1906

To

The Assistant to the Dewan & Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Mysore Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No 4207-9 dated the 28th March 1906 directing the retirement of C. Krishnaiengar, Inugrai Inspector, from service from 1st April 1906, I have the honor to forward herewith an application dated the 6th April 1906 in original, received from the said Krishnaiengar praying for further retention in service for some time longer together with my remarks thereon, and to request that Government may be pleased to order the retention in service of the Inugrai Inspector for some short time longer until the investigation into the accounts of the Jaggulalo Dharmasala in the Dodda Petta, Mysore city, be finished by the Inugrai Inspector as it is feared that there are some defalcations and the examination will take one man's whole time and no clerk can be spared from this office c/o

The

The work is very heavy.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

[redacted]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

c/s
B.G.

Dft as per 22 cr's. order

1905–06.

From

To

The Dy. comm^r
of the Mysore Dist^t

Dated 12th May 1906

Despatched 1906.

Received

General No. 5353

No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter no 535 Dy the 24th April 1906, recommending the retention of the Survey Inspector C. Krishnaiengar, in service for some time longer, has the honor to request to be furnished with ~~details~~ information on the points noted below: —

1) when was the investigation into the accounts of Jassulal's Dharmasala in the Mysore city comm^d

and how long will it take

to complete it?

2) Was any report previously made to this office in the matter? ~~and if not, why? and~~

~~3) How is it that the~~ Dy. comm. recommended the man's retirement before the work of examination was finished?

~~The~~ undersigned is of opinion ~~is observed~~ that an examination by an independent man may perhaps be more satisfactory.

An early reply is requested

By order,

22 cols. order

12.5.06

Secretary.

12/5/06

Dft. as Hon Dewan's (?).

1905 06

From

To The Comptr
Mysore Govt

Dated 12th May 1906

Despatched 19/5.

Received

General No. 5356.

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Adverting to ~~his~~ your letter no 5442 Mucz-252 of the 14th April 1906 requesting ~~us~~ re-consider ~~the~~ 9th Govt. order sanctioning the payment of gratuity to Muzrai Inspector Krishniengar, ~~on the ground~~ in contravention of the late chief Commrs. order of the 9th April 1845, ~~he was known~~

I am directed to state that Municipal Servants are now admitted to the privilege of pensio-

the
Our gratuities are Inamnar
Servants are also ~~entitled~~ therefore admitted
to a like privilege. ~~Circs~~ 2. circumstances
have greatly changed since
the chief Commrs. orders ~~referred to~~ of 1875
~~refer to~~. ~~were issued~~.

~~The Compt. to Govt is~~ 3. I am therefore
~~informed that~~ there are to state
no grounds to reconsider
the order already passed.

By order,

Dewan's order) 12.5.1906

Secretary.

12/5/06

1905 - 06

From
N. H. Sparkes Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To
L. Ananthasamy Rao Esq B.A.
Secy: to Govt., Anugrai Dept

Dated 31 - 5 - 06

Despatched 6 - 6 - 06

Received

General No. 5[illegible]

No.

Enclosure No. 2.

Submits further report regarding C. Krishnaiengar Anugrai Inspector

Reference please
M. Rao 6/6/06

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No 585
an 2

Mysore 31st May 1906
4.6.06

To. L. Ananta Sami Rao Esqre. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Menzarai Department

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 5355 dated 14th/19th May 1906 calling for certain information in the matter of the retention in Service for sometime longer of C. Kristinengar, Menzrai Inspector, I have the honor to state that the examination of the accounts of Jaggulal's Dharmasala in the Mysore City was, it was learnt, commenced by C Kristinengar from the 11th January 1906, and it may take nearly 8 or 9 months more to complete it, as about 20 years accounts have to be overhauled, and as only four years accounts have been examined till the end of March 1906 noticing some of the discrepancies therein.

2. From the accompanying Copies of a D.O letter dated the 24th February 1906 received from Mr. D. M. Narasinga Rao, Under Secretary on duty with the Dewan, requiring me to report for the information of the Dewan on

on the work done by C Krishnaiengar, and of my reply thereto, it may be seen that C Krishnaiengar was exclusively deputed to examine the accounts of the Jaggulal's Dharmasala. A report could not be made to the Mysore Secretariat in the matter as the investigation has not been completed as yet

I have the honor &c
Sir
Your Most obedient & most

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 27th Feby '06

My Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter of the 24th February 1906 stating that the Dewan desires to have a report on the work done by C. Krishnaiengar, attached to the Anugrai Branch of my office, I beg to state that as the Government order No 1547-9 dated 21-9-1905 simply directed that "Krishnaiengar will in future work under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District in the Anugrai Department," and as Krishnaiengar reported himself for duty, I directed him to work in the office pending further orders from Government, and help the Anugrai clerk in the disposal of Anugrai papers.

Meanwhile a report having been called for by the Anugrai secretary on the petition from one Theju Rama Lala complaining

-ing of misappropriation of the properties of the Jaggulalé Dharmavala situated in Doddapetta, Nanjanagud city, by the present manager Subramanyalala, the examination of the Account Books of several years comprising minor details maintained by the Manager in the said Dharma-Sala was found necessary.

The Sheristadar Sreepathi Krishnaiyengar has therefore been exclusively deputed to examine the said account Books which are now being checked by him.

Yours sincerely
(Sd) H. H. Starkey
Deputy Commissioner
Nanjanagud District

To

D. M. Narasimha Rao Esqr B.A. B.L.

"Copy"

H. H. Starkey
Deputy Commissioner
Nanjanagud District

Ch. S
Bg

Purnaprasada
Bangalore
24th February 1906

My Dear Sir,

The Dewan desires to have a report from you on the nature, extent and quality of the work done by C. Krishnaiengar attached to the Mugrai Branch of your office.

A very early reply will oblige.

Yours sincerely
(Sd) D. M. Narasinga Rao
under Secretary on duty with the Dewan

H. H. Sparkes Esq:

"Copy"

Deputy Commr.
Mysore District

C/S
PA.

Dept.
7/7/06 work

Mysore

Read:-

Govt Pro no 4207 F
dy 28th March 1906 directing
the retirement of C. Krishnayya,
Mysore Inspector, Mysore
from the 7th April 1906.

Correspondence ending
with letter no 585 dy
31st May 1906 from the
Dy Comm, Mysore D.t
recommending the retention
in Service, of Kaul
for some time longer
till he completes the investigation
of the accounts of Jain Gol
dalas Dharmasala in Mysore

220-1 dy 12" July 06.

Order thereon:-

The retention in
Service of C. Krishnayya

cannot be sanctioned

2. As regards the examination of the accounts of the Jagga Lala's charities at Mysore, upon which Venkumiengar appears to have been employed for some time before his retirement the Dy Comm^r is requested to make some other suitable arrangement for carrying out the work and completing it soon

6/7/06

Dewan's Order

Leg Mus Dept

6/7/06

To the Dy Comm^r

Mysore

a Compliance Report

To

Lyons and Seale
saying that final orders
were issued on the
declaring to retain them in the
Service any longer
16/7/06

Sir -

L. Anantharamayya Hon Esqr.
Secretary
Imperial - Department
Bangalore

I, the undersigned have
the to submit that I drew
drawn the amount of Rupees
sanctioned in Govt. Proc. No. 4207-9
dated 28th March, 1906, in the in-
stance of applicant here, that
you with the assistance of my
-ture, lawyers and my friends -
-ships, will be so kind as to sub-
-mit the respectful recommendations,
recommendation in service, in some
time longer. I solicit to repre-
sent, that I was entirely de-
pending upon the pay given
to me, for the last 10 years my
family, kept destitute of every
thing. I am utterly undone.

In these days of dear
dearth it is very hard to obtain
even the bare necessaries of
life without money, and in

substantial source of income. For the last 4 months I have been undergoing a series of troubles & anxieties on my poor family by temporary expedients, which moved a Colonel Jailor, and subjected me to greater hardship. I keep I may not be thrown helpless and starve to death, when Jasmine & Kasturba deserted the lines of honorableness. I leave my hard case to your mercy. Your kind support of my poor case, may rescue me from falling a victim to starvation.

I keep to subscribe
A poor unfortunate
Christian Gypsy
Sadasiva Chetty
Shoola post
Mysore

13 4/06

Muz. 7]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Dated July 1906.

ENDORSEMENT granted to C. Krishna Iyengar, Mysore

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 262 dated the 13th July 06, requesting to retain him in service for some time longer

---

Petitioner is informed that ~~the~~ Government ~~have~~ issued ~~already~~ in their Pro. No. 220-1 of 12th July 06, ~~passed a final order~~, declining ~~his~~ to retain him in the service any longer

By Order,

18/7/06

Secretary, Muzrai Department.

GPB—1 000—27-6 06